## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

GARY HENSON,	)	
BECKY HENSON,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 4:11CV2245 HEA
	)	
IRONTON, CITY OF,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on the Motion to Enforce Settlement [Doc. No. 30] filed by Defendant. Plaintiff has filed opposition to the motion. For the reasons stated below the motion is denied.

## **Factual Background**

This case came before this court on removal jurisdiction on December 30, 2011. Subsequent to that the court was informed that the parties had achieved a settlement. Thereafter, on November 15, 2012 the Plaintiffs filed a Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice, [Doc. No. 28], which the court ordered and entered. On July 3, 2013 Defendant filed its Motion to Enforce Settlement.

## **Discussion**

It is elementary that Article III courts are courts of limited jurisdiction.

"They possess only that power authorized by the Constitution and statute..." "It is

to be presumed that a cause lies outside this limited jurisdiction, and the burden of

establishing the contrary rests upon the party asserting jurisdiction...." Kokkonen v.

Guardian Life Insurance Company of America, 114 S. Ct. 1673, 511 U. S. 375,

377(S Ct., 1994) (citations omitted). The Court entered dismissal in this matter

pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 (a) (1) (ii). There was nothing in

the order of dismissal or in the Settlement Agreement attached as a exhibit in the

filings wherein the Court retained any jurisdiction over the settlement agreement

between the parties. As such this Court is without subject matter jurisdiction to

entertain this dispute.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Enforce Settlement [Doc.

No. 30] is denied.

Dated this 22nd day of January, 2014.

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Lang brand Rung